IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1927 OF 2005

IN

SECOND APPEAL NO.301 OF 2003

Shri Mintu Sadusingh Hanspal
& anr. **.. Petitioners**

Versus

Shri Tarsimsingh Santsing Sehra
and anr. **.. Respondents**

And

Mr. Jagdish Ramsingh Chouhan
and anr. **.. Applicants**

R.S. Patil for Applicant
Vijay Nene for respondent No.1
Mr. Kambli for respondent No.2

CORAM : S.R. SATHE,J.

DATED : 10TH JULY,2006

P.C.:-

. Heard advocates for the parties.

. The applicants have filed this application to

implead them as appellants. It is the case of the applicant that he has purchased the suit property from the appellants-defendants during the pendency of second appeal. Of course, it is their case that he has purchased the property except the balcony. It is their further case that as a result of purchase of property now they are interested in decision of Second appeal and the said decision is likely to affect their interest and as such they may be impleaded as appellant No.3 and 4. The present appellants have obviously no objection for the same.

. If the present applicants are allowed to be impleaded as appellant No.3 and 4 it would not cause any prejudice to present respondent. On the contrary, if the present appellant are party to the present litigation then there may not be any further complication in the matter. It would enable the Court to decide the dispute with regard to suit property once for all.

. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant be impleaded as appellant Nos. 3 and 4.

. C.A. disposed of accordingly.

(S.R. SATHE,J.)